



*HAU901I*

# Single-cell transcriptomics

# Introduction

Pr Jacques Colinge

UM/Inserm - IRCM - ICM



**Institut national** de la santé et de la recherche médicale





#### scRNA-seq general workflow

**Single Cell RNA Sequencing Workflow** 



\* Not required if cells already in suspension, *e.g.*, blood cells

# Typical outcome

- + Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC), Pizzolato et al., PNAS, 2019
- + (No dissociation required here.)
- + Each dot in (A) represents an individual cell's transcriptome
- + Clustering of those transcriptomes has identified several well-defined clusters
- + The specific expression of known cell population marker genes enables us to assign each cluster to a cell type as indicated in (C).



# Typical outcome (2)

#### Distinct cancer cells for each patient

**Comparable** C A Non-malignant cells ( $k = 3363$ ) Malignant cells  $(k = 2215)$ stromal cells 80 100  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}})$  $\bullet$  MEEI5  $\bullet$  MEEI5 Mast B/plasma  $\bullet$  MEEI6  $\bullet$  MEEI6 across patients Macrophage  $• MEE116$  $• MEE116$ Endothelia 神社  $\bullet$  MEEI17  $\bullet$  MEEI17  $40$ 50 Fibroblasts MEEI18 MEEI18 MEEI20 MEEI20 MEEI22 MEEI22 ISNE<sub>2</sub>  $\mathbf{\Omega}$ MEEI25  $• MEEI25$ **ISNE** MEEI26  $MEE126$  $\bullet$  MEEI28  $\bullet$  MEEI28  $-50$ -40 Myocyte DC  $-100$ <br> $-100$  $-80$ -50  $\ddot{\mathbf{0}}$ 100 -80 -40  $\ddot{\mathbf{0}}$ 40 tSNE<sub>1</sub> tSNE<sub>1</sub> B Fibroblasts D T cells JUNB<br>HER2 **Subclustering** īL8  $CD4+T_{conn}$ `FŎSL1<br>-STAT1 CCR<sub>7</sub> **CD8+ T** enables IDO1 GZMA/B/H/K **Treas** 500 Differentially expressed genes ALDH1A1<br>ALDH3A1<br>GSTM1<br>GSTA1<br>CYP4F1<br>CYP4F3<br>ABCC1 ACTA2, FOXPS identifying MCAM, MYLK, MYL9 IL6, PDGFA **CAFs** phenotypically FAP, THY1 1000-**PDPN MMP2/11** distinct **PDGFRAA** - GPX2<br>GSTM2<br>GSTM3<br>\GSTM4<br>MYC PD1, LAG3, TIGIT, CTLA4 subpopulations 1500 of cells- TNF 2000

Assess the biological differences between cell clusters

S100A9

Pri LN

28

Pri LN

25

 $LN$ 

26

Tumor (MEEI)

Pri LN

5

Pri LN Pri

20

17 22 16 18

Head and neck cancer tumors Puram et ql, Cell, 2017

### scRNA-seq technologies

- + First released protocols aimed at sequencing one cell mRNA thanks to improved cDNA amplification and NGS (Lao, Nat Methods, 2009)
- + Smart-seq (Ramsköld, Nat Biotechnol, 2021) enables the transcriptome profiling of roughly 100 cells
	- Full length cDNAs are captured, amplified, and sequenced by Illumina seq
	- One library per cell, typically >20 million uniquely mapped reads per cell
	- Roughly 8,000 genes per cell
- + CEL-seq (Hashimshony, Cell Rep, 2012) introduces the idea of multiplexing the sequencing of several cells by appending a cell-specific barcode
	- Only the 3' end is sequenced
	- Multiplexing reduces the sequencing depth per cell, *i.e.*, the number of genes with reliable expression data



#### scRNA-seq technologies

- + DL-seq, QuartzSeq, MARS-seq, …
- + Smart-seq-2 (Picelli, Nat Methods, 2013) improves sensitivity and full-length coverage of transcripts
- $+$
- + Separating cells to prepare libraries requires microfluidics
- + A commercial device is Fluidgm C1
	- Dissociated cells are loaded onto the device and flowed through microfluidic channels for size- and shape-dependent capture
	- In individual wells, cells are lysed, RT and cDNA synthesis are performed followed by **PCR**
	- Distinct cDNA libraries can be generated for each well
	- Introduction of a barcode in well and subsequent pooling is also possible



# Droplet sequencing, highly multiplexed analyses

- + A revolutionary idea (Macosko, Cell, 2015) is to accomplish all the cDNA and barcoding chemistry in a single droplet (containing one cell) instead of a physical well
- + This allows to process thousands of cells instead of dozens when building a multiplexed library



# Drop-seq



+ Beads are coated with millions of primers, each bead has a distinct cell barcode

- + Each cell is isolated in a droplet together with a single bead (microparticle)
- + Due to extensive PCR, sequence bias is likely to happen that would distort the transcriptomes (this protocol only captures the 3' end of each gene!)
- + UMIs are added to avoid this bias: after sequencing, for a given cell transcriptome, each UMI only counts once

#### Drop-seq



9

# Drop-seq

- + A commercial platform has been developed by 10x Genomics: Chromium
- + This company sells reagent kits and a device to bring drop-seq to the broadest public
- + Big commercial success, one device @ MGX in Montpellier
- + At the moment, this is the most commonly used platform
- + Even with deep sequencing, the high multiplexing limits the depth of individual transcriptomes to a few 1000 genes



# Technological developments



Source: Svensson, Nat Methods, 2017

# Why doing single-cell studies

- + To uncover the cellular composition of tissues
	- Bulk RNA-sequencing or proteomics only tell us about the average gene or protein expression in tissues (there are gene signatures but not accurate)
	- Techniques such as microdissections are tedious and not at single-cell resolution
	- Flow cytometry requires *a priori* known surface markers
	- Single-cell transcriptomics enable us to discover all (most) of the cell types present in a tissue *de novo*
	- This might reveal unexpected or new cell types that may have an important function in the tissue
	- The same cells in different states, *e.g.*, activated *versus* non-activated fibroblasts, are likely to display different transcriptomes  $\rightarrow$  cellular state resolution
	- Variation in cellular composition might relate to important biological or clinical issues, *e.g.*, in tumors whose microenvironment composition may inform on treatment outcome (immunotherapy unlikely to work in immune poor tumors)
- + To understand gene regulation at a single-cell level
	- Identical cells do not express all the same genes (stochastic control)
	- Differentiation processes, *e.g.*, hematopoiesis, gradients in tissues, cell localized at damages areas of an organ
	- Tissue and organism development

# One application example

- + Analyzing head and neck tumors, Puram et al, Cell, 2015, discovered a cluster of cells harboring a partial EMT program
- + They found that those cells undergoing p-EMT were located at the leading edge of the tumors and they were engaging in cross-talk with CAFs



- + Indeed, CAFs co-localized with cells expressing the p-EMT program and specific ligand-receptor interactions were found (TGFB3-TGFBR2, FGF7- FGFR2, CXCL12-CXCR7) that may promote EMT (ligands secreted by the CAFs)
- + Finally, p-EMT signature was searched in large LNs cancer transcriptomic data sets and found associated with nodal metastasis and adverse pathologic features



#### Data sources

- + Transcriptomic, RNA-seq data repositories such as GEO include scRNA-seq ; many datasets can be downloaded from there
- + Some large, community efforts have their own repositories, *e.g.*, the Human Cell Atlas



+ Some authors or companies have started to integrate scRNA-seq data to offer query tools, and sometimes download functionalities

#### scRNA-seq data properties

- + Due to limited depth (close to 10,000 genes for Smart-seq-2, but 800 to 4,000 genes for drop-seq), scRNA-seq are 0-inflated
- + Due to a limit of detection, many genes remain undetected or data are too sparse to be used. This phenomenon is called dropout. One can also says that data are censored.
- + This limitation must be taken into consideration in the data modeling (censored statistics) and biological interpretation
- + Dropouts are also present in bulk RNA-seq, but much less prominent
- + After read alignment and proper processing of individual cell barcodes as well as UMIs if present, scRNA-seq data take the form of a classical read count matrix, each column being a single cell and each row a gene
- + Different data processing packages might export their output in different formats
- + Data downloaded from GEO are usually in a tabular format. We will look at 10x Genomics CellRanger aligner output format in the exercises

#### Sequencing depth comparison



Accuracy = Pearson correlation coefficient with bulk RNA-seq ; sensitivity = number of detected genes Source: Svensson, Nat Methods, 2017

# Basic processing steps

- + Alignment of the reads against the proper reference genome, management of cell barcodes and UMIs (if applicable)
- + Filtering of dead cell (typically by eliminating cells with excessive mitochondrial gene contents)
- + Filtering of doublets: two cells in a same well (seldom) or to cells in a same droplet (more frequent)
- + Normalization (sequencing depth can be very different between cells, especially in drop-seq)
- + Clustering and 2D-projection
- + Cell type calling
- + And project dependent analyses!

#### Tool sources

- + Different complete or generic packages have been proposed to analyze scRNA-seq data
	- Seurat, open-access, very popular, the most widely used generic tool
	- Monocle, Scanpy, RaceID, ASAP, …
	- CellRanger & Loupe, solutions from 10x Genomics
	- There are also web servers: alona, Granatum, …
- + Plenty of specific tools addressing one specific need
	- Some are interoperable or they can import data from Seurat or other generic tools (nice)
	- Can be much better than some of the integrated algorithms proposed by a generic package (often the case)
	- Check<https://www.scrna-tools.org/>

#### Can we do the same with proteins?

# Multiplexed proteomics with isobaric labeling



## Principle of single-cell proteomics

- + There is no PCR and we cannot introduce nucleotidic barcodes!
- + Use isobaric labels as barcodes



+ We can only process 10-12 cells at a time!

### Normalization issue

- + Since each group of 10-12 samples is analyzed separately, advanced batch effect correction must be applied to pool multiple analyses in100- to 1000-cell data sets
- + To analyze multiple samples in a pool increases detection sensitivity
- + Also works with phospho-proteins
- + ~1000 proteins detected/sample
- + Now up to 3000-5000 proteins with the latest orbitrap astral and Bruker TIMS-TOF 2! This is comparable to transcriptomics
- + Lastly, label-free attempts are developing based on data independent acquisition protocols applied to one cell at a time, less missing data and normalization issues, but more MS time!
- + Same protein numbers