M2 Cosmos, Champs et Particules — Faculté des sciences de Montpellier

QFT, SOLUTIONS TO PROBLEM SHEET 3

## Problem 1: The free scalar field and causality

Show that for any spacelike four-vector x there exists a proper orthochronous Lorentz transformation sending  $x^0 \rightarrow 0$ . Conclude that

 $\Delta(x,y) = 0 \qquad whenever \quad (x-y)^2 < 0.$ 

Here  $\Delta(x, y) = [\phi(x), \phi(y)]$  and  $\phi$  is a free real scalar field. What is the corresponding statement for a complex scalar field?

Let  $x = (t, \vec{x})$  be a space-like four-vector,  $x_{\mu}x^{\mu} < 0$ . By a rotation we can always transform  $\vec{x} \to \begin{pmatrix} x^1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Here  $|x^1| > |t|$  because x is spacelike. By a boost in the  $x^1$  direction, we can then transform

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}t\\x^{1}\end{array}\right) \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c}\gamma & \beta\gamma\\\beta\gamma & \gamma\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c}t\\x^{1}\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c}0\\x'^{1}\end{array}\right)$$

by choosing  $\beta = -\frac{t}{x^1}$ ; this is permissible because  $|x^1| > |t|$  and therefore  $|\beta| < 1$ . Now let (x - y) be spacelike and choose a Lorentz frame where  $x^0 = y^0$ . Then we have  $[\phi(x), \phi(y)] = 0$  according to the canonical commutation relations. The corresponding statement for a complex free scalar field is

$$\langle 0 | [\phi^{\dagger}(x), \phi(y)] | 0 \rangle = [\phi^{\dagger}(x), \phi(y)] = 0$$
 if  $(x - y)^2 < 0$ .

## Problem 2: The residue theorem

To obtain the electrostatic potential of a point particle in Exercise 3.4 on Problem Sheet 1, you were given the identity

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}k \; \frac{k \, \sin(kx)}{k^2 + m^2} = \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-mx} \qquad (x > 0) \,.$$

Prove this formula with the help of the residue theorem.

Given the real positive constants x and m, we define the meromorphic functions  $f_{\pm}(z)$  by

$$f_{\pm}(z) = \frac{z \, e^{\pm i z x}}{z^2 + m^2} \, .$$

Both these functions have poles at z = im and at z = -im. Moreover,  $f_+(z)$  decreases exponentially as  $|z| \to \infty$  in the upper half-plane, and  $f_-(z)$  decreases exponentially as  $|z| \to \infty$  in the lower half-plane. We therefore have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{+}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k = \oint_{\gamma_{+}} f_{+}(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = 2\pi i \, \mathrm{res}(f_{+}, z = im) = 2\pi i \, \frac{ze^{izx}}{z + im} \bigg|_{z = im} = \pi i e^{-mx}$$

where  $\gamma_+$  is a curve along the real axis and closed along the arc at infinity in the upper half-plane (which includes the pole at z = im), and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{-}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k = \oint_{\gamma_{-}} f_{-}(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = -2\pi i \, \mathrm{res}(f_{-}, z = -im) = -2\pi i \left. \frac{z e^{-izx}}{z - im} \right|_{z = -im} = -\pi i e^{-mx}$$

where  $\gamma_{-}$  is a curve along the real axis and closed along the arc at infinity in the lower half-plane (which includes the pole at z = -im). It follows that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2i} \left( f_+(k) - f_-(k) \right) \, \mathrm{d}k = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{1}{2i} \left( f_+(k) - f_-(k) \right) \, \mathrm{d}k = \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-mx}$$

which is the desired identity.

## **Problem 3: Propagators**

1. Show that any  $iD_{\mathcal{C}}(x-y)$  is a Green function for the Klein-Gordon operator  $\Box + m^2$ :

$$(\Box_x + m^2)D_{\mathcal{C}}(x - y) = -i\delta^4(x - y)$$

$$(\Box_x + m^2) \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i}{k^2 - m^2} e^{-ik(x-y)} = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i}{k^2 - m^2} (\Box_x + m^2) e^{-ik(x-y)}$$
  
= 
$$\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i}{k^2 - m^2} (-k^2 + m^2) e^{-ik(x-y)} = -i \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik(x-y)} = -i\delta^{(4)}(x-y) \,.$$

2. Express  $D_R(x - y)$  and  $D_A(x - y)$  in terms of  $\Theta$  functions and of vacuum expectation values of products of  $\phi(x)$  and  $\phi(y)$ . Here  $D_R(x - y)$  is defined to avoid both poles in the upper half-plane, and  $D_A(x - y)$  is defined to avoid both poles in the lower half-plane.

We need to calculate the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(k^0) \, \mathrm{d}k^0 \,, \qquad f(k^0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{i \, e^{-ik^0(x^0 - y^0)}}{(k^0 - \omega)(k^0 + \omega)} \,.$$

The "retarded" contour  $C_R$  avoids both poles in the upper half-plane:



• If  $x^0 > y^0$ , then  $e^{-ik^0(x^0-y^0)}$  diverges exponentially as  $|k^0| \to \infty$  for Im  $k^0 > 0$ , and decays exponentially as  $|k^0| \to \infty$  for Im  $k^0 < 0$ . The integration contour therefore needs to be closed in the lower half-plane where Im  $k^0 < 0$ , and includes both poles with winding number -1. We have

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}_R} f(k^0) \, \mathrm{d}k^0 = 2\pi i \left( -\operatorname{res} \left( f, \omega \right) - \operatorname{res} \left( f, -\omega \right) \right)$$
$$= -2\pi i \left( \frac{i \, e^{-i\omega(x^0 - y^0)}}{(2\pi)(2\omega)} + \frac{i \, e^{i\omega(x^0 - y^0)}}{(2\pi)(-2\omega)} \right) = \frac{1}{2\omega} \left( e^{-i\omega(x^0 - y^0)} - e^{i\omega(x^0 - y^0)} \right)$$

and it follows that

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_R} \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i \, e^{-ik(x-y)}}{k^2 - m^2} = \int \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2\omega}}_{=\,\widetilde{\mathrm{d}k}} \left( e^{-i\omega(x^0 - y^0)} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{x}-\vec{y})} - e^{-i\omega(y^0 - x^0)} \underbrace{e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{x}-\vec{y})}}_{=e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{y}-\vec{x})} \text{ under } \mathrm{d}^3 k} \right)$$
$$= \int \widetilde{\mathrm{d}k} \left( e^{-ik(x-y)} - e^{-ik(y-x)} \right) = D(x-y) - D(y-x) = \langle 0 | [\phi(x), \phi(y)] | 0 \rangle.$$

• If  $x^0 < y^0$ , then  $e^{-ik^0(x^0-y^0)}$  diverges exponentially as  $|k^0| \to \infty$  for Im  $k^0 < 0$ , and decays exponentially as  $|k^0| \to \infty$  for Im  $k^0 > 0$ . The integration contour therefore needs to be closed in the upper half-plane, and does not include any pole. Hence

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}_R} f(k^0) \, \mathrm{d}k^0 = 0$$

• Altogether we find the following expression for the retarded propagator:

$$D_R(x-y) = \theta(x^0 - y^0) \ \langle 0 | [\phi(x), \phi(y)] | 0 \rangle$$

The "advanced" contour  $C_A$  avoids both poles in the lower half-plane:



Following the same line of reasoning, one finds

$$D_A(x-y) = -\theta(y^0 - x^0) \ \langle 0 | [\phi(x), \phi(y)] | 0 \rangle \,.$$

3. Starting from the expression of the lecture

$$D_F(x-y) = \int \widetilde{dk} \left( e^{-ik(x-y)} \Theta(x^0 - y^0) + e^{ik(x-y)} \Theta(y^0 - x^0) \right) \Big|_{k^0 = \omega_{\vec{k}}}$$

and evaluating the integral, write the Feynman propagator for  $(x - y)^2 \neq 0$ explicitly in terms of the modified Bessel function of the second kind  $K_1(z)$ . You can use the identity (see Gradshteyn & Ryzhik, "Table of integrals, series and products", eq. 3.914/9)

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x e^{-\beta} \sqrt{\gamma^2 + x^2}}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 + x^2}} \sin bx \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{\gamma b}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + b^2}} K_1 \left(\gamma \sqrt{\beta^2 + b^2}\right) \,.$$

Assume that  $x^0 > y^0$  (otherwise exchange x and y). With  $z \equiv x - y$  we then have  $z^0 > 0$ , and

$$D_F(z) = \int \widetilde{dk} \ e^{-ikz} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega} e^{-i\omega z^0 + i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{z}} = \int_0^\infty \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{k^2 dk \ d\cos\theta \ d\phi}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega} e^{-i\omega z^0 + ikz\cos\theta} d\phi$$

where in the last term, and from now on, k and z no longer denote four-vectors but  $k \equiv |\vec{k}|$  and  $z \equiv |\vec{z}|$ . The d $\phi$  integral gives a factor  $2\pi$ , whereas the d cos  $\theta$  integral gives

$$\dots = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}k \; \frac{k^2}{\omega} \frac{1}{ikz} \left( e^{ikz} - e^{-ikz} \right) e^{-i\omega z^0} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 z} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}k \frac{k}{\sqrt{k^2 + m^2}} \sin(kz) e^{-i\sqrt{k^2 + m^2} z^0}$$

Using the given representation of  $K_1$  with  $\beta = iz^0$ ,  $\gamma = m$ , and b = z, this is

$$\dots = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 z} \frac{mz}{\sqrt{-(z^0)^2 + z^2}} K_1\left(m\sqrt{-(z^0)^2 + z^2}\right)$$

and finally plugging back the original variables gives

$$D_F(x-y) = \frac{m}{4\pi^2 \sqrt{-(x-y)^2}} K_1\left(m\sqrt{-(x-y)^2}\right) \,.$$

Note the exponentially decaying behaviour for  $(x - y)^2 < 0$ , while for timelike separations (x - y) the Feynman propagator is complex-valued and oscillatory.